



¹Department of Medicine, College of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA, ²Department of Health Services Research, Management and Policy, College of Public Health and Health Professions, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA, ³University of Florida Health, Gainesville, FL, USA

Introduction

- Acute kidney injury (AKI) is one of the most common complications among hospitalized patients and is central to the subsequent development of chronic kidney disease (CKD) and increased mortality. ^{1,2}
- It is associated with up to five-fold increases in risk for both other serious complications and hospital death, and an increase in hospital cost of up to \$28,000 per hospitalization.³
- Timely detection of AKI and progression of AKI could avoid further injurious practices, and increase the chance for offering more effective preventive or therapeutic measures.
- Electronic phenotyping refers to a characterization of a clinical condition determined via a computerized algorithm to a data repository using a defined set of data elements and logical expressions.
- The objective of this study is to develop and validate an electronic phenotype algorithm to identify patients with CKD and AKI.

- Among 149,136 encounters, 12% had CKD by medical history, which is based on ICD-9/10 codes. (Table 1)
- Using creatinine criteria, percent of patients with Cl identified increased to 16%. (Table 1)
- Among 130,081 encounters who had sufficient data for A phenotyping after excluding those with end-stage renal diseas on admission, AKI during hospitalization was identified in 21 of encounters. (Table 2)
- CKD and AKI phenotyping algorithms performed well with diagnostic performance measures above 0.90. (Tables 3, 4)
- The CKD algorithm performed well and missed very few cases of CKD that manual adjudication captured. (Tables 3)
- The AKI algorithm had a much higher sensitivity for screening and detecting AKI in hospitalized patients than using ICD-9/10 codes, as AKI seems to be greatly underreported and not included in billing codes. The use of creatinine criteria for the AKI algorithm made its sensitivity far superior to that of ICD-9/10 codes. (Table 4)

Computable Phenotyping to Identify and Characterize Kidney Health in Adult Hospitalized Patients

Rubab Islam, BS¹, Tezcan Ozrazgat-Baslanti, PhD¹, Charles Hobson, MD², Amir Motaei PhD¹, Lasith Adhikari PhD¹, Anusha Madushani PhD¹, Haleh Hashemighouchani MD¹, Mark S. Segal, MD PhD¹, Gloria Lipori, MBA³, Azra Bihorac, MD, MS¹



Figure 1. Phenotyping flow charts A) CKD Identification

Results

Table 1. Distribution of chronic kidney disease groups

- //		N=149136
KD	CKD, n (%)	25238 (16.9)
	CKD by Medical History	18557 (12.4)
	CKD by Creatinine Criteria	4937 (3.3)
AKI	CKD after kidney transplant	1744 (1.2)
ise	No CKD, n (%)	123268 (82.7)
1%	Insufficient Data, n (%)	630 (0.4)
L / U		

Table 2. Renal characteristics among encounters with no end-stage renal disease on admission

	N=130,081
No AKI during hospitalization, n (%)	103089 (79.2)
AKI during hospitalization, n (%)	26992 (20.8)
Maximum AKI Stage, n (%)	
Stage 1	16949 (62.8)
Stage 2	5236 (19.3)
Stage 3 (with or without RRT)	4807 (17.8)
RRT, n (%)	1306 (4.2)
Recurrent AKI, n (%)	3310 (12.3)
AKI duration, days, median (25 th ,	2 (1, 5)
50 th , 75 th)	

Table 3. Comparison of CKD Phenotyping to manual chart review

	Manual abort review			
	Ivianual chart review			
Phenotyping				
Algorithm	Case	Control	Total	
Case	131	19 ^a	150	
Control	1 ^b	149	150	
Total	132	168	300	
PPV (95% CI)	0.87 (0.81, 0.92)			
NPV (95% CI)	0.99 (0.96, 1.00)			
Sensitivity (95% CI)	0.99 (0.96, 1.00)			
Specificity (95% CI)	0.89 (0.83, 0.93)			
Accuracy (95% CI)	0.93 (0.90, 0.96)			

Table 4. Comparison of AKI Phenotyping algorithm and ICD-9/10 codes to man

	Manı	al chart	review	Manual chart review				
Phenotyping								1.
Algorithm	Case	Control	Total	ICD-9/10 codes	Case	Control	Total	<u> </u>
Case	198	2 ^a	200	Case	99	28	127	
Control	5 ^b	95	100	Control	104	69	173	
Total	203	97	300	Total	203	97	300	2.
PPV (95% CI)	0.9	9 (0.96 <i>,</i> 1	L.00)	PPV (95% CI)	0.78	(0.70, 0.	85)	
NPV (95% CI)	0.9	5 (0.89 <i>,</i> ().98)	NPV (95% CI)	0.40	(0.33, 0.	48)	
Sensitivity (95% CI)	0.9	8 (0.94 <i>,</i> 0).99)	Sensitivity (95% CI)	0.49	0.42, 0.	.56)	3
Specificity (95% CI)	0.9	8 (0.93 <i>,</i> 1	L.00)	Specificity (95% CI)	0.71	(0.61, 0.	80)	0.
Accuracy (95% CI)	0.9	8 (0.95 <i>,</i> 0).99)	Accuracy (95% CI)	0.56	(0.50, 0.	62)	

B) Reference Creatinine Determination

C) AKI Staging

nual	cha	art	rev	iew
IMMI	CIR			

Conclusions & Discussion

developed phenotyping algorithms that yielded high We performance in identification of patients with CKD and AKI in validation cohort.

• Limitation of CKD algorithm when there are incorrect or missing ICD-9/10 codes

• AKI algorithm provides autonomous kidney health assessment that can capture AKI status and severity in a timely manner.

• This tool can be useful in identifying kidney disease in large populations, in assessing the quality and value of care provided to such patients and in clinical decision support tools to help providers care for these patients.

References

Ozrazgat-Baslanti T, Thottakkara P, Huber M, et al. Acute and chronic kidney disease and cardiovascular mortality after major surgery. Annals of Surgery. 2015.

Korenkevych D, Ozrazgat-Baslanti T, Thottakkara P, et al. The Pattern of Longitudinal Change in Serum Creatinine and 90-Day Mortality After Major Surgery. Ann Surg. 2015 Jul 15.

Lewington AJ, Cerda J, Mehta RL. Raising awareness of acute kidney injury: a global perspective of a silent killer. Kidney Int 2013;84:457-67.